(b) and (c). Do not arise in view of answer to sub-division (a).

(4) (a). Yes.

(b) to (f). There was no deviation from the tender notification and there was therefore no new arrangement as presumed by the Member; neither any excess amount had to be spent.

Action taken against the Assistant Engineer.

98. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—Will the Government be pleased to state what is the action taken against the Assistant Engineer for having submitted the completion report of the canal referred to in question No. 268 of the Legislative Session of 1104 ?

Mr. M. D. Narayana Ayyar.—The matter has not been finally disposed of.

Article in the Chitrabhanu containing allegations against the Forest Department.

99. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—Will the Government be pleased to state what is the action taken in the matter referred to in the answer to question No. 206 (b) of the Budget Session of 1104?

Mr. K. Ramunni Menon.—The matter is still under correspondence.

Foreshore improvements.

100. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state the main items of expenditure proposed in connection with the improvements to be effected near the foreshore, Ernakulam, and the expansion and dimension of roads, etc., on the western side of the Huzur Office, College, etc.,

(b) the budget provision from which the amounts are being spent;

(c) the names of tenderers with their rates and the names of the contractors ?

Mr. M. D. Narayana Ayyar.—(a) (1). Realignment and improvement of the main road from the Christian Hostel up to the Krishna Vilas, opening up a Park, putting up electric lights, improving the Huzur jetty and repairing the revetment wall on the foreshore.

(b) There is no budget provision for any except for improving the Huzur jetty, please vide B. I. No. 299 of P. W. D. budget.

(c) No tenders were called for. All these works were entrusted to the contractor, Mr. S. Sitarama Ayyar, at estimated rates.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly